ORDINANCE 86 - 12

To Vacate a Public Parcel east half of east/west alley in block

bounded by College, Walnut, 4th & 5th (CFC, Inc.)

WHEREAS, IC 36-7-3-12 authorizes the Common Council to vacate public ways and places upon petition of persons who own or are inter ested in lots contiguous to those public ways and places; and

WHEREAS, the petitioner, CFC, INC. has filed a petition to vacate a parcel of City property in the block bounded by College, Walnut, 4th and 5th; and

WHEREAS, IC 36-7-3-12 requires the Plan Commission to make a recommendation on the proposed vacation and to forward it to the Common Council; and

WHEREAS. the Plan Commission has considered this petition and made a favorable recommendation on its adoption;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA, THAT:

SECTION I. Through the authority of IC 36-7-3-12, a portion of City-owned property in the block bounded by College, Walnut, 4th & 5th shall be vacated. The property is more particularly described as follows:

Part of the east half of an east-west alley in the City of Bloomington, County of Monroe, State of Indiana: Beginning at a point located at the southeast corner of in-lot 125, thence south twelve (12) feet to the northeast corner of in-lot 92, thence west over and along the north lot line of in-lot 92 One Hundred Thirty-Two (132) feet to the northwest corner of in-lot 92, thence north twelve (12) feet to the southwest corner of in-lot 126, thence east over and along the south lot line of in-lot 126 and in-lot 125 One Hundred Thirty-Two (132) feet to the southeast corner of in-lot 125, and the place of beginning, of the original plat of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana.

SECTION II. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council, approval by the Mayor, and published according to law.

> day of March 1986. PASSED and ADOPTED upon this 19

> > JAMES REGESTER, President Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 20 day of Mar, 1986.

PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

SIGNED AND APPROVED by me upon this 20 day of Mar, 1986.

TOMILEA ALLISON, Mayor City of Bloomington

ernilea allesen

SYNOPSIS

CFC, Inc. requests vacation of the east half of the east/west alley in the block bounded by College, Walnut, 4th and 5th to facilitate the construction of the walkway between the proposed new parking garage and the renovated structures on the south side of the square.

Signed aprice to: CFC Planning Quality Recode Assessy

****ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION****

	•				•
In acco	rdance with IC 18-7-4-508	, I hereby ce	rtify that	the attached	
Ordinan	ce Number86-12, is a tru	e and complet	e copy of I	lan Commission	
Case Nu	mber MP-1-86 which was	given a recom	mendation o	of approval	
by a vo	te of 7 Ayes, 0 Nays,	and <u>0</u> Abste	ntions by t	the Bloomington	•
City Pl	an Commission at a public				
		, d	Long Ha	a. Much	2
Date:	February 28, 1986		Plan Commis		-
Receive	d by the Common Council C	office this	day of	Enway, 1986	
	a Williams, City Clerk			(
			L		
Appropr	iation Ordinance #	Fiscal Impac _Statement #_ Ordinance		Resolution#	
Type of	Legislation:		·		·
Appropr	iation End of	Program	Penal Or	dinance	
Budget	Transfer New Pro	gram	Grant Ar		<u> </u>
Salary Zoning	-			rative Change erm Borrowing	
New Fee		·	Other		
	acation of public ROW.				
	legislation directly affe City Controller:	ects City fund	s, the foll	lowing must be co	mpreted
Cause o	f Request:				
	Expenditure		Emergeno Other	:у	
Funds A	ffected by Request:	·			
	Affected			,	
	lance as of January 1	\$		\$	
	to Date Expected for Rest of yea	ar ———			
Appropr	iations to Date				
	priated Balance of Proposed Legislation(+	·/-\			<u> </u>
FILECT	of Proposed Legislation(· / – <u>/</u>			
Project	ed Balance	\$		\$	
		Signature o	f Controll	er	
Will th	ne legislation have a majo ty or revenues? Yes	or impact on e NoX_	xisting Ci	ty appropriation	s, fiscal
If the	legislation will not have	e a major fisc	al impact,	explain briefly	the
reason	for your conclusion. Do	es not creat	ce new lot	ts, so it	
nore he	ot create new revenue ecause it is already r	eceiving Cit	w carvice	20	
If the	Tegislation will have a	major fiscal i	mpact, exp	lain briefly wha	to to
signifi	costs and revenues will cant additional expenditue on second sheet if nec	ires in the fu	ture. Be	as specific as p	ossible.
/		• •			
					•
	•				
nav ouk	nitting legislation	Planning De	partment		
ncy suon					
Ву	Timothy Mueller]	Date 2/28/	86	

